arXiv:1612.06171v1 [math.RT] 19 Dec 2016

ALGORITHMIC ASPECTS OF UNITS IN GROUP RINGS

ANDREAS BÄCHLE, WOLFGANG KIMMERLE, AND LEO MARGOLIS

Abstract. We describe the main questions connected to torsion subgroups in the unit group of integral group rings of finite groups and algorithmic methods to attack these questions. We then prove the Zassenhaus Conjecture for Amitsur groups and prove that any normalized torsion subgroup in the unit group of an integral group of a Frobenius complement is isomorphic to a subgroup of the group base. Moreover we study the orders of torsion units in integral group rings of finite almost quasisimple groups and the existence of torsion-free normal subgroups of finite index in the unit group.

1. Introduction

The study of the units of an integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}G$ for a finite group G has begun in G. Higman's thesis [29]. G.Higman classified the finite groups G whose integral group ring has only trivial units. The aim of this article is to present recent work on the structure of torsion subgroups on the unit group of $\mathbb{Z}G$ which has been achieved especially with the aid of algorithmic tools.

Denote by

$$\varepsilon : \mathbb{Z}G \to \mathbb{Z}, \sum_{g\in G} z_g g \mapsto \sum_{g\in G} z_g$$

the augmentation map. Being a ring homomorphism ε maps units of $\mathbb{Z}G$ to units of $\mathbb{Z}$, so up to multiplication with -1 any unit in $\mathbb{Z}G$ has augmentation 1 and it suffices to study the units of augmentation 1 in $\mathbb{Z}G$. These so called normalized units units will be denoted by $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$.

Though extensively studied, very few general theorems on the behaviour of finite subgroups in $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ are available. It is known that the order of a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ divides the order of G [60] and that the order of a torsion unit in $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ divides the exponent of G [14]. But it is not even known, if the orders of torsion units in $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ coincide with the orders of elements in G. For a long time the Isomorphism Problem, which asks if a ring isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}G \cong \mathbb{Z}H$ implies a group isomorphism $G \cong H$, was the focus of attention in the area, see e.g. [51]. A negative answer to this problem was finally given by M.Hertweck [21].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16S34, 16U60, 20C05.

Key words and phrases. integral group ring, torsion units, Zassenhaus Conjecture, computer algebra, Amitsur groups, Frobenius complements.

The first author is a postdoctoral researcher of the FWO (Research Foundation Flanders). The third is supported by a Marie-Curie Individual Fellowship from EU project 705112-ZC.

The main questions in the area of torsion units of integral group rings are given and inspired by three conjectures of H. Zassenhaus. A subgroup $U \leq \mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is called rationally conjugate to a subgroup of G, if there exist a subgroup $U' \leq G$ and a unit $x \in \mathbb{Q}G$ such that $x^{-1}Ux = U'$. Let G be finite group

(ZC1) Units of finite order of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ are rationally conjugate to elements of G.

(ZC2) Group bases, i.e. subgroups of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ of the same order as G, are rationally conjugate.

(ZC3) A finite subgroup H of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is rationally conjugate to a subgroup of G.

Note that (ZC1) is still open. For (ZC2) and (ZC3) counterexamples are known (not only by the counterexample to the Isomorphism Problem). However they hold for important classes of finite groups, cf. Section 4.

In the last ten years computational tools have been developed to attack these questions. The fundamental tool is the HeLP - method which is now available as a `GAP` - package [6]. This package makes use of two external solvers for integral linear inequalities, namely 4ti2 [1] and normaliz [13] which substantially improved the efficiency of `HeLP`. In Section 2 we describe the HeLP method as well as other algorithmic methods which have been developed in the last years to handle cases left open by `HeLP`. The remaining article is organized as follows. In Section 3 we prove (ZC1) for Amitsur groups. In the next section we survey recent results circulating around the Zassenhaus conjectures and isomorphism questions. We exhibit especially those results which have been established with the help of computational tools. We present as well the major research problems on torsion units of integral group rings. In Section 5 we show that finite subgroups of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ are isomorphic to a subgroup of G if G is a Frobenius complement. In the last two sections further classes of finite groups (in particular almost simple groups) are investigated especially with ordinary character theory. For the question whether the projection of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ onto a faithful Wedderburn component (of $\mathbb{Q}G$ or $\mathbb{C}G$) has a torsion free kernel, it becomes transparent that the use of generic characters and generic character tables is extremely useful. This underlines the connection to other topics of computational representation theory of finite groups which are in the focus of recent research. It also shows that this is related with the construction of big torsion free normal subgroups of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$. This connects the investigation of torsion units of integral group rings with the other main topic in the area, the desription of the whole unit group of $\mathbb{Z}G$ in terms of generators and relations. This involves questions on the generation of units of infinite order, free non-abelian subgroups of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$, generators of subgroups which have finite index in $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and others. For a recent detailed monograph on these latter topics see [34, 35].

2. Tools and Available algorithms

An important tool to study the questions mentioned above are so-called partial augmentations. For an element $u = \sum_{g \in G} u_g g \in \mathbb{Z}G$ and a conjugacy class x^G in G the integer

$$\varepsilon_x(u) = \sum_{g \in x^G} u_g$$

is called the partial augmentation of u at x. Being class functions of G, partial augmentations are a natural object to study using representation theory. The connection between the questions mentioned in the introduction and partial augmentations is established by the following result.

Proposition 1 (Marciniak, Ritter, Sehgal, Weiss [45, Theorem 2.5])**.** *A torsion unit $u \in \mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is rationally conjugate to a group element if and only if $\varepsilon_x(u^d) \geq 0$ for all divisors d of $o(u)$ and all $x \in G$.*

Note that for $u \in \mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ the condition $\varepsilon_x(u) \geq 0$ for all $x \in G$ is equivalent to the fact that one partial augmentation of u is 1 while all other partial augmentations are 0 – a situation which clearly applies for an element $g \in G$.

Thus it is of major interest to find restrictions on the partial augmentations of torsion units. For the orders of elements providing possibly non-vanishing partial augmentations the following is known.

Proposition 2. *Let $u \in \mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ be a torsion unit of order n.*

i) *$\varepsilon_1(u) = 0$, unless $u = 1$. (Berman-Higman Theorem* [34, Proposition 1.5.1]*).*
ii) *If $\varepsilon_x(u) \neq 0$ for some $x \in G$ then the order of x divides n* [22, Theorem 2.3]*.*

From the properties of the p-power map in group algebras of characteristic p one can obtain more restrictions on the partial augmentations given in terms of congruences modulo p.

Lemma 3 (cf. [6, Proposition 3.1] for a proof)**.** *Let s be some element in G and $u \in \mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ a unit of order $p^j \cdot m$ with p a prime and $m \neq 1$. Then*

$$\sum_{x^G,\ x^{p^j} \sim s} \varepsilon_x(u) \equiv \varepsilon_s(u^{p^j}) \mod p.$$

In some special situations there are more theoretical restrictions on the partial augmentations of torsion units. We will only mention one of them which has not been used frequently yet, but turns out to be quite useful for our results.

Proposition 4 ([23, Proposition 2])**.** *Suppose that G has a normal p-subgroup N, and that u is a torsion unit in $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ whose image under the natural map $\mathbb{Z}G \to \mathbb{Z}G/N$ has strictly smaller order than u. Then $\varepsilon_g(u) = 0$ for every element g of G whose p-part has strictly smaller order than the p-part of u.*

Remark 5. An easy but often useful observation when working with quotient groups is the following. Let N be a normal subgroup of G and denote by

$\varphi : \mathbb{Z}G \to \mathbb{Z}G/N$ the linear extension of the natural projection from G to G/N. Then for an element $g \in G$ and a unit $u \in \mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ we have

$$\varepsilon_{\varphi(g)}(\varphi(u)) = \sum_{\substack{x^G, \\ \varphi(x)\sim\varphi(g)}} \varepsilon_x(u)$$

where the sum runs over the conjugacy classes of G.

2.1. **HeLP.** An idea to obtain more restrictions on the partial augmentations of torsion units in $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ using the values of ordinary characters of G was introduced by I.S. Luthar and I.B.S. Passi [44]. If χ denotes an ordinary character of G and D a representation of G realizing χ then D can be linearly extended to the group ring $\mathbb{Z}G$. This provides a ring homomorphism from $\mathbb{Z}G$ to a matrix ring and thus units of $\mathbb{Z}G$ are mapped to invertible matrices. Hence D extends to a representation of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and χ extends to a character of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$. Let $x_1, ..., x_h$ be representatives of the conjugacy classes of elements in G. Since χ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear function we obtain

$$\chi(u) = \sum_{i=1}^{h} \varepsilon_{x_i}(u)\chi(x_i) \quad \text{for} \quad u \in \mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G).$$

Denote by $\chi_1, ..., \chi_h$ the irreducible complex characters of G and by $\mathrm{X}(G)$ the character table of G. So from the arguments above we see

$$\begin{pmatrix} \chi_1(u) \\ \chi_2(u) \\ \vdots \\ \chi_h(u) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1(x_1) & \chi_1(x_2) & \dots & \chi_1(x_h) \\ \chi_2(x_1) & \chi_2(x_2) & \dots & \chi_2(x_h) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \chi_h(x_1) & \chi_h(x_2) & \dots & \chi_h(x_h) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{x_1}(u) \\ \varepsilon_{x_2}(u) \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_{x_h}(u) \end{pmatrix} = \mathrm{X}(G) \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{x_1}(u) \\ \varepsilon_{x_2}(u) \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_{x_h}(u) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

Since the character table of a group is an invertible matrix, equation (1) provides restrictions on the partial augmentations of u once we obtain restrictions on the character values $\chi_1(u), ..., \chi_h(u)$.

For a unit u of finite order these restrictions follow from the fact that $D(u)$ is a matrix of order dividing the order of u. Thus $D(u)$ is diagonalizable and its eigenvalues are $o(u)$-th roots of unity. So there are only finitely many possibilities for the values of $\chi(u)$. Hence going through these possibilities for all the irreducible complex characters of G and applying equation (1), one obtains finitely many possibilities for the partial augmentations of u. If we assume moreover that the partial augmentations of proper powers of u are known, say by induction, then the restrictions on the eigenvalues of $D(u)$ can be significantly strengthened since they may be obtained as the pairwise product of the eigenvalues of $D(u^d)$ and $D(u^e)$ where d, e denote integers not coprime with $o(u)$ such that $d + e \equiv 1 \mod o(u)$.

Clearly if one assumes that K is an algebraically closed field of characteristic p not dividing $o(u)$ then the arguments of the last paragraph still apply. Fixing a correspondence between the complex roots of unity of order not divisible by p and the roots of unity in K, as it is custom in modular representation theory, one can view the character χ as a p-Brauer character having complex values. It was shown by Hertweck [22, Section 3] that if one takes $x_1, ..., x_h$ to be only the representatives of p-regular conjugacy classes

in G and $\chi_1, ..., \chi_h$ to be the irreducible p-Brauer characters of G then equation (1) also applies. This modular extension of the idea of Luthar and Passi is in particular useful for simple non-abelian groups. It does however not provide new restrictions for solvable groups by the Fong-Swan-Rukolaine Theorem [15, Theorem 22.1].

The HeLP method can be implemented into a computer program as it has been done in the `GAP`-package `HeLP` [6]. The HeLP method has been applied for single groups, e.g. in the study of the Zassenhaus Conjecture for small groups as in [9], [31] or [3] or to study non-solvable groups as e.g. in [11] or [42]. It might also be used to study infinite series of groups possessing generic character tables as it was done in [22], [7] or [49]. In this paper we will apply the HeLP method in Sections 6 and 7.

Note that when one knows the partial augmentations of a torsion unit $u \in \mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and all it powers, as e.g. after the application of the HeLP method, one may compute the eigenvalues, with multiplicities, of $D(u)$ for any ordinary representation D of G. This observation is often useful when combining the HeLP method with other ideas described below.

2.2. **Other algorithmic methods: Quotients, Partially Central Units and the Lattice Method.** An inductive approach to questions about torsion units in $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ may be taken when one possesses information on the torsion units in $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G/N)$ where N is some normal subgroup in G, since a homomorphism from G to G/N naturally extends to a homomorphism from $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ to $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G/N)$. If one can control the fusion of conjugacy classes in the projection from G to G/N then one can also obtain restrictions on the partial augmentations of elements in $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ assuming some knowledge about the partial augmentations of the units in $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G/N)$, cf. Remark 5. This approach was taken by many authors in particular when studying classes of groups closed under quotients as e.g. in [24]. In this paper also quotients play a significant role in all our results.

Assume that some torsion unit $u \in \mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is central in some Wedderburn component B of the complex group algebra $\mathbb{C}G$, but its spectrum in this component does not coincide with the spectrum of any element in G. An idea to disprove the existence of such units was first used manually by C. Höfert [30] and recently developed as a `GAP`-program by A. Herman and G. Singh [20]. This is sometimes called the Partially Central Method and uses an explicit representation of G to show that no element in $\mathbb{C}G$ having only integral coefficients can realize the given central unit in B. Since u has no other conjugates inside B, this also proves that u can not be globally conjugate to an element in $\mathbb{Z}G$. This method turns out be be useful for the study of small groups as demonstrated in [3], but is also not always successful.

A further algorithmic method, particularly useful for the study of the Prime Graph Question (cf. Problem 4 of Section 4), was introduced in [5] and is known as the Lattice Method. Let p be a prime, (K, R, k) be a p-modular system for G and $u \in \mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ a torsion unit of order divisible by p. The idea of the Lattice method is roughly that when B is a block of the modular group algebra kG, D an ordinary irreducible representation

of G belonging to B with corresponding RG-lattice L and S a simple kG-composition factor of $\bar{L}$, where $\bar{\cdot}$ denotes the projection from R onto k, then the spectrum of $D(u)$ provides restrictions on the isomorphism type of L as $R\langle u\rangle$-lattice and thus on the isomorphism type of S as $k\langle\bar{u}\rangle$-module. The use of other RG-lattices whose reduction to kG involve S as a composition factor may finally lead to a contradiction to the existence of u, since in some situations the restrictions obtained on the isomorphism type of S as $k\langle\bar{u}\rangle$-module may contradict each other. The Lattice Method has successfully been applied in the study of the Prime Graph Question and the Zassenhaus Conjecture for non-solvable groups in [5, 8]. We will apply the Lattice Method in Section 6.

3. Amitsur groups

Herstein pointed out that all finite subgroups of division rings in positive characteristic p are cyclic p'-groups. In [2] Amitsur described the finite subgroups of division algebras in characteristic 0; these groups are nowadays often called *Amitsur groups*. Recall that a group is a Z-group if all its Sylow subgroups are cyclic. We will use a weaker version of Amitsur's classification suitable for us.

Theorem 6 (Amitsur, [55, Theorem 2.1.4])**.** *If a finite group G is a subgroup of a division algebra of characteristic 0 then*

- (Z) *G is a Z-group*
- (NZ) *or G is isomorphic to one of the following groups*
  - (a) $\mathcal{O}^* = \langle s,t|(st)^2 = s^3 = t^4\rangle$ *(binary octahedral group),*
  - (b) $\mathrm{SL}(2,5)$,
  - (c) $\mathrm{SL}(2,3)\times M$*, with M a group in (Z) of order coprime to* 6 *and* 2 *has odd order modulo $|M|$,*
  - (d) $C_m \rtimes Q$*, where m is odd, Q a quaternion group of order 2^t such that an element of order 2^{t-1} centralizes C_m and an element of order* 4 *inverts C_m,*
  - (e) $Q_8 \times M$ *with M a group in (Z) of odd order and* 2 *has odd order modulo $|M|$.*

In [17, Theorem 3.5] it was proved that for an Amitsur group G, the order of a normalized torsion unit in $\mathbb{Z}G$ coincides with the order of an element in G. We now verify that even the first Zassenhaus Conjuecture holds for these groups.

Theorem 7. *Let G be a finite subgroup of a division ring, then (ZC1) holds for G.*

Proof. If G is a Z-group then it is either cyclic or metacyclic and hence (ZC1) holds for G [24, Theorem 1.1]. The binary octahedral group was handled by Dokuchaev and Juriaans [16, Proposition 4.2] and $\mathrm{SL}(2,5)$ by Juriaans and Polcino-Milies [36, Proposition 4.2] (for those two groups even (ZC3) was verified). The groups in (NZ)(d) have cyclic normal subgroups of order $2^{t-1}m$ with an abelian quotient of order 2, so we can again apply [24, Theorem 1.1]. If $G = Q_8 \times M$ for some Z-group M of odd order, then it is a direct product of a nilpotent group with a group for which the Zassenhaus

Conjecture is known with coprime orders and the claim follows from [24, Proposition 8.1]. So we are left with the groups in (NZ)(c).

Assume from now on that $G = \mathrm{SL}(2,3) \times M$, with M a group in (Z) of order coprime to 6 and let $u \in \mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ be a torsion unit. If u has an order a divisor of $|M|$, then $(o(u), |\mathrm{SL}(2,3)|) = 1$. Then we can consider the ring homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}G \to \mathbb{Z}G/\mathrm{SL}(2,3) \simeq \mathbb{Z}M$ induced by the projection $G \to G/\mathrm{SL}(2,3)$ to a group ring of a group for which the Zassenhaus Conjecture is known and apply [16, Theorem 2.2] to conclude that u is rationally conjugate to an element of G. If u has an order a divisor of $|\mathrm{SL}(2,3)|$ then a similar argument applies.

We now consider units having an order which has a common divisor with both, 6 and the order of M. Note that G has a normal Sylow 2-subgroup P. Denote by φ the natural ring homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}G \to \mathbb{Z}G/P$, which will also be denoted by bars, i.e. $\bar{x} = \varphi(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{Z}G$.

Assume first that $u \in \mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is of order $2m$ with $1 \neq m$ a divisor of $|M|$. If $\varepsilon_x(u) \neq 0$, then $o(x) \mid 2m$ by Proposition 2. The image $\bar{u}$ has order a divisor of m, in particular strictly smaller order than u. So by Proposition 4 the only partial augmentations of u that are potentially non-zero are those at classes of group elements of order $2m_0$ for m_0 a divisor of m. Let $w \in G$ be an element whose natural projection onto $\mathrm{SL}(2,3)$ is trivial. Then the conjugacy classes that are mapped under φ onto the conjugacy class of $\bar{w}$ are exactly the classes of w, zw and tw, where z and t denote elements of G of order 2 and 4, respectively. Hence $\varepsilon_{\bar{w}}(\bar{u}) = \varepsilon_w(u) + \varepsilon_{zw}(u) + \varepsilon_{tw}(u) = \varepsilon_{zw}(u)$, since the order of w and tw is not of the form $2m_0$. As the Zassenhaus Conjecture holds for the metacyclic group G/P we conclude that u has exactly one non-vanishing partial augmentation and is rationally conjugate to a group element by Proposition 1.

If u if of order $4m$ where $1 \neq m$ is a divisor of $|M|$ then analogues arguments as in the case $2m$ show that u is rationally conjugate to an element of G. Now assume that $u \in \mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is of order $3m$ with $1 \neq m \mid |M|$. Then $\bar{u}$ is conjugate within $\mathbb{Q}\bar{G}$ to an element of $\bar{G}$. As $(o(u), |P|) = 1$ we can use [16, Theorem 2.2] to conclude that u is rationally conjugate to an element of G.

Finally assume that $o(u) = 6m$ with $1 \neq m$ a divisor of $|M|$. If $\varepsilon_x(u) \neq 0$ for some $x \in G$, then $o(x) \mid 6m$ by Proposition 2. The image $\bar{u}$ has strictly smaller order than u, so again by Proposition 4 the only partial augmentations of u that are potentially non-zero are those at classes of group elements of order $2m_0$ for m_0 a divisor of $3m$. First consider an element $w \in G$ that projects to 1 when mapped to $\mathrm{SL}(2,3)$. Then there are 3 conjugacy classes of G that are mapped on the conjugacy class of $\bar{w}$ in $\bar{G}$, namely those of w, zw and tw, where z and t are elements of G of order 2 and 4, respectively. Then $\varepsilon_{\bar{w}}(\bar{u}) = \varepsilon_w(u) + \varepsilon_{zw}(u) + \varepsilon_{tw}(u) = \varepsilon_{zw}(u)$. Now assume that $w \in G$ maps to an element of order 3 in $\mathrm{SL}(2,3)$. Observe that for each element $s \in P$, ws is either conjugate to w or to zw. Hence exactly those two conjugacy classes map to the conjugacy class of $\bar{w}$. Thus $\varepsilon_{\bar{w}}(\bar{u}) = \varepsilon_w(u) + \varepsilon_{zw}(u) = \varepsilon_{zw}(u)$. As the Zassenhaus Conjecture holds for G/P we can conclude that u has exactly one non-trivial partial augmentation. By

Proposition 1, u is rationally conjugate to an element of G. The theorem is proved. □

4. From (IP) to (SIP) and (PQ)

From the point of view of the unit group $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ the counterexample to the isomorphism problem (IP) simply shows that different group bases may be not isomorphic. Nevertheless a lot of positive results have been established and it is justified to say that (IP) has almost a positive answer. Indeed for each finite group G there is an abelian extension $E := A \rtimes G$ such that (ZC2), and so also (IP), has a positive answer, i.e. different group bases of $\mathbb{Z}E$ are conjugate within $\mathbb{Q}G$. This follows from the F^*-theorem which has been discovered by K.W.Roggenkamp and L.L.Scott [53], [52, Theorem 19] and has now finally a published account [26, Theorem A and p.350], see also [28, p.180]. With respect to semilocal coefficient rings the F^*-theorem (in its automorphism version) may be stated as follows.

F^*-theorem. Let G be a finite group. Denote by $\pi(G)$ the set of primes dividing the order of G. Let S be the semilocal ring $\mathbb{Z}_{\pi(G)}$. Suppose that the generalized Fitting subgroup $F^*(G)$ is a p-group and let α be an S-algebra automorphism of SG preserving augmentation. Then α is given as the composition of an automorphism induced from a group automorphism of G followed by a central automorphism (i.e. given by conjugation with a unit of $\mathbb{Q}G$).

The assumption on G in the preceding theorem holds for all group bases of $\mathbb{Z}G$ and for all group bases of $\mathbb{Z}(G \times G)$. Thus it follows for groups whose generalized Fitting subgroup $F^*(G)$ is a p-group that group bases of $\mathbb{Z}G$ are rationally conjugate, cf. [37, 5.3]. For a given group G let A be the additive group of $\mathbb{F}_pG$. Consider the semidirect product $E = A \rtimes G$, where the action of G is just given by the multiplication of G on A. Clearly $C_E(A) = A$ and thus the F^*-theorem establishes (ZC2) and therefore a strong answer to (IP) for $\mathbb{Z}E$.

The preceding paragraph shows that (IP) has a positive solution for many important classes of finite groups. Thus the following subgroup variation came in the focus of research within the last years.

Problem 1. (Subgroup Isomorphism Problem **(SIP)**). Classify all finite groups H such that whenever H occurs for a group G as subgroup of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ then H is isomorphic to a subgroup of G.

If H has this property we say that (SIP) holds for H.

The known general results on (SIP).

4.1. (SIP) holds for cyclic groups of prime power order [14].
4.2. (SIP) is valid for $C_p \times C_p$, p a prime [39, 25].
4.3. (SIP) is valid for $C_4 \times C_2$ [47].

This shows that with respect to general finite groups very weak general facts are known about torsion units of the integral group ring. On the related question when for a given specific group G all torsion subgroups of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$

are isomorphic to a subgroup of G much more is known. In Section 5 we settle this question for all groups occurring as Frobenius complements.

Whether (SIP) holds for finite p-groups is certainly one of the major open questions. Clearly this leads to Sylow like theorems for $\mathbb{Z}G$. Even for conjugacy of finite p-groups within $\mathbb{Q}G$ no counterexample is known.

Problem 2. [16, p-ZC3,p.1170] Is a Sylow like theorem **(SLT)** valid in $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$, i.e. is each p-subgroup of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ rationally conjugate to a subgroup of G ?

We say that (SLT) holds for a given group G if in $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ Problem 2 has an affirmative answer for all primes p and that (SLT_p) holds if this is the case for a specific prime p. If each subgroup of prime power order $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of G we speak of a weak Sylow like theorem **(WSLT)** and use the notion (WSLT_p) if this holds for a specific prime p.

Denote by G_p a Sylow p-subgroup of G. Summary of known results on (SLT).

4.4. $(\mathrm{SLT})_p$ holds when G_p is normal [54].
4.5. (SLT) holds when G is nilpotent-by-nilpotent [16].
4.6. $(\mathrm{SLT})_p$ holds when G_p is abelian and G is p-constrained [4, Proposition 3.2].
4.7. (SLT) holds for $\mathrm{PSL}(2, p^f)$ where p denotes a prime [48] if $f = 1$ or $p = 2$. It also holds for $\mathrm{PSL}(2, p^2)$ if $p \leq 5$ [43]. Moreover (WSLT) holds if $f = 2$ [27].
4.8. $(\mathrm{SLT})_2$ is valid if $|G_2| \leq 8$, unless $G \cong A_7$ [47]. $(\mathrm{WSLT})_p$ is valid if G_p is cyclic [39, 25] and $(\mathrm{WSLT})_2$ is proved if G_2 is generalized quaternion [40, Theorem 4.1] or a dihedral group [47].

For Frobenius groups we refer to the next section. The following two further special cases of (SIP) have been studied extensively in the last decade.

Problem 3. (**(SIP-C)**, Problem 8 in [54]) Let G be a finite group. Is each cyclic subgroup of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ isomorphic to a subgroup of G?

Problem 4. (Prime Graph Question **(PQ)**) Let G be a finite group. Do G and $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ have the same prime graphs? Equivalently, is (SIP) valid for cyclic groups of order $p \cdot q$, where p and q are different primes?

We say that (SIP-C) or (PQ) holds for a group G if Problem 3 or Problem 4 respectively has a positive answer for G. Note that (ZC1) implies (SIP-C) and this in turn implies (PQ) . So both problems may be also considered as test problems for the first Zassenhaus conjecture.

Summary of known results on (SIP-C) and (PQ) .

4.9. (SIP-C) holds for soluble groups [23]. Moreover (SIP-C) is valid for any soluble extension of a group Q for which each torsion unit of order n has non-vanishing partial augmentations on a class of

elements of Q of order n, cf. Lemma 11. This is the case when (ZC1) holds for $\mathbb{Z}Q$.
(PQ) is valid for any soluble extension of a group Q for which (PQ) holds. [38, Proposition 4.3].

4.10. (SIP-C) holds for Frobenius groups [42, Corollary 2.5].

4.11. (PQ) holds for all simple groups $\mathrm{PSL}(2,p)$, for p a prime [22].
(PQ) also holds for any almost simple group with socle isomorphic to $\mathrm{PSL}(2,p)$ or $\mathrm{PSL}(2,p^2)$ [7].

4.12. If each almost simple image of the group G has an order divisible by three primes then (PQ) has an affirmative answer [42, Theorem 3.1], [5].

4.13. (PQ) holds for many almost simple groups whose socle has an order divisible by at most 4 different primes [8].

We like to point out that the computational tools explained in Section 2 play a prominent role in proving these results. A typical example for this is 4.11. By theoretical arguments the proof is reduced to almost simple groups whose order is divisible by exactly three primes, cf. [41, §4]. CFSG shows that there are only 8 such simple groups with this property. Now a computer algebraic examination of the almost simple groups arising from those simple groups yields successfully the result. The HeLP - method does not suffice to deal with all cases. In the case of automorphism groups of A_6 the final piece is obtained by the Lattice method.
For further results on almost simple groups of small order see Section 6.

5. Frobenius groups and complements

The torsion units of the integral group rings of Frobenius groups were considered in [17, 36, 38, 10, 43]. In particular SLT holds cf. [43] and (PQ) is known [38]. However, none of the Zassenhaus conjectures has been established completely. For many specific Frobenius groups (ZC1) and (ZC3) are known. The following Theorem 8 should be seen as a first important step towards (ZC3) for Frobenius groups.

Theorem 8. *Let G be a Frobenius complement. Then each torsion subgroup of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of G.*

Proof. By [50, §18] the structure of Frobenius complements G is as follows. Denote by W the Fitting subgroup of G.

(1) If G_2 is cyclic then G is a Z-group.
(2a) Suppose that W_2 is cyclic. Then G is metabelian.
(2b) Suppose that $W_2 \cong Q_8$. Then

$$(i)\ \ G = \boxed{\begin{array}{c} C_2 \\ \hline \mathrm{SL}(2,3)\times M \end{array}}\ \text{ or }\ (ii)\ \ G = \boxed{\mathrm{SL}(2,3)\times M}$$

$$\text{or}\ \ (iii)\ \ G = \boxed{Q_8 \times M},$$

where M is a metacyclic Z-group of odd order coprime to the order of $\mathrm{SL}(2,3)$ and Q_8 respectively.

(2c) Suppose that $W_2 \cong Q_{2^n}$ with $n \geq 4$. Then

$$G = \boxed{\begin{array}{c} C_2 \\ \hline C_{2^{n-1}} \times M \end{array}}$$

where M is a metacyclic Z-group of odd order and $G_2 \cong Q_{2^n}$.

(3) If G is insoluble then

$$(i)\ \ G = \boxed{\begin{array}{c} C_2 \\ \hline \mathrm{SL}(2,5) \times M \end{array}} \ \text{ or } \ (ii)\ \ G = \boxed{\mathrm{SL}(2,5) \times M},$$

where M is a metacyclic Z-group of odd order coprime to the order of $\mathrm{SL}(2,5)$.

The following results settle several of these cases immediately.

(5.2) If G is a direct product of two groups H_1 and H_2 of coprime order then each torsion subgroup of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of G if and only if the same holds in $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}H_1)$ and $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}H_2)$.

(5.3) For Z-groups (ZC3) is valid [58].

(5.4) If G has an abelian normal subgroup A such that G/A is abelian then each torsion subgroup of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of G. This follows from the small group ring sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \cong \mathbb{Z}G \cdot I(A)/I(G) \cdot I(A) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}G/I(G) \cdot I(A) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}G/A \longrightarrow 0$$

together with the well known facts that torsion subgroups of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ are trivial provided G is an abelian torsion group and that by [46] $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G) \cap (1 + I(A)I(G))$ is torsionfree.

So Case 1 follows from 5.3, Cases 2a and 2b(iii) from 5.4. Moreover (ZC3) is valid for $\mathrm{SL}(2,3)$, $\mathrm{SL}(2,5)$ [17, Theorem 4.3] and for p-groups [59], [56]. Thus applying 5.2 and 5.3 we see that the the theorem is valid in the Cases 2b(ii), 2c(ii), 3(ii) rsp.

Case 3(i). We first consider subgroups of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ whose order divide 240. Then factoring out the normal metacyclic group M these subgroups are subgroups of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G/M)$. Then $G_1 = G/M$ is the double cover of the S_5 occurring as Frobenius complement. (ZC1) holds for $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G_1)$ [10], (SIP-C) holds for G by [42, Corollary 2.5] and a Sylow like theorem by [43]. Thus because the order of a torsion subgroup H of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G_1)$ has to divide $|G_1| = 240$ the remaining orders of subgroups of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G_1)$ are:

$$240, 120, 80, 60, 48, 40, 30, 24, 20, 15, 12, 10 \text{ and } 6.$$

If $|H| = 240$ then H is a group basis. So we have to show that (IP) holds for G_1. This may be easily seen looking at the ordinary character table of G_1. The normal subgroup correspondence shows that $\mathbb{Z}G_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}H$ implies that H has to be as well a double cover of S_5. But the character tables of the two double covers of S_5 are different. Thus $H \cong G_1$. Each subgroup of even order of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G_1)$ contains the centre Z of G_1 which is isomorphic to C_2. Thus subgroups of order 10 and 6 have to be cyclic. Factoring out Z we see that a subgroup H of order 120 has to map onto a subgroup $\bar{H}$ of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}S_5)$ of order 60. Because (ZC3) holds for S_5 by [16] we see that $\bar{H} \cong A_5$. There are only two insoluble groups of order 120 which map onto A_5. The group

$C_2 \times A_5$ has more than one involution. Thus it follows that $H \cong \mathrm{SL}(2,5)$. Also there are no subgroups of order $80, 60, 30, 15$ rsp. because $G_1/Z = S_5$ has no subgroups of order $40, 30, 15$ rsp.
Assume now that $|H| = 40$. Because a Sylow like theorem is valid in $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ we know that H has a Sylow 2-subgroup H_2 isomorphic to Q_8 or to C_8. Suppose that $H_2 \cong Q_8$. Then $H_2/Z \cong C_2 \times C_2$. But S_5 has no subgroup of type $C_5 \times C_2 \times C_2$. Thus $H_2 \cong C_8$. Assume that H is isomorphic to a dihedral group of order 40. Then $H/Z \cong D_{10}$. But H/Z has to be a Frobenius group of order 20 we conclude that $H \cong C_5 : C_8$ which is indeed isomorphic a subgroup of G_1. Similarly one sees that subgroups of order 20 are isomorphic to $C_5 : C_4$, a subgroup of index 2 in $C_5 : C_8$.
Let $|H| = 48$. Then we know that $H/Z \cong S_4$. Moreover $Q_{16} \cong H_2$. Suppose that $H \cong Q_{16} \times C_3$. Then $H/Z \cong D_4 \times C_3 \ncong S_4$. Clearly H must have a normal subgroup of order 8 and contains a non-split central extension of A_4 of order 24. This must be the binary tetrahedral group. Hence an examination of the groups of order 48 (e.g. with `GAP` [18]) shows that H is a binary octahedral group of order 48.
Similarly one sees that subgroups of order 24 are binary tetrahedral groups or $C_3 : Q_8$ which maps onto a subgroup of S_5 isomorphic to $S_3 \times C_2$. Both occur as subgroups of G_1.
If H has order 12, $H_2 \cong C_4$. Thus $H \cong C_{12}$ which must occur in G_1 because (SIP-C) holds.

Now let H be a subgroup of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ whose order is not divisible by $2, 3$ or 5. Then by reduction modulo $\mathrm{SL}(2,5)$ we get that H is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}(G/\mathrm{SL}(2,5))$. But $\bar{G} = G/\mathrm{SL}(2,5)$ is a Z - group. Thus (ZC3) holds for $\bar{G}$ and H is isomorphic to a subgroup of M.
Finally, if H is a subgroup such that H maps onto a subgroup $\bar{H}$ of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}\bar{G})$ (with $\bar{G} = G/\mathrm{SL}(2,5)$) of even order $m > 2$. Then as before $\bar{H}$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\bar{G}$. Let K be the image of H under the map onto $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ and M_H the kernel of H under this map. Clearly M_H is isomorphic to the subgroup of index 2 of $\bar{H}$.

H is a semidirect product of the form $M_H \rtimes K$. The action of K on M_H is determined modulo $K/C_K(M_H)$ and therefore given by $\bar{H}$. Thus its isomorphism type is given by $\bar{H}$ and M_H.

Cases 2b(i) and 2c. That subgroups of $Q_{2^n}, n \geq 4$ are isomorphic to subgroups of G follows in this case at once from [46] (even (ZC3) holds by [59], [56]). Moreover (ZC3) holds for the binary octahedral group by [16, Theorem 4.7]. Now we can argue as in the case before and the proof is complete. □

6. (SIP-C) for almost quasisimple groups

In this section we will consider non-solvable groups and analyse how much the known methods can provide for our problems. We will concentrate on automorphic and central non-split extensions of non-abelian simple groups. Recall that a group G is called *almost simple* if there is a simple non-abelian group S such that G is isomorphic to a subgroup of the automorphism group

of S containing the inner automorphisms of S, i.e. $S \cong \mathrm{Inn}(S) \leq G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(S)$. Moreover a group is called qausisimple if it is a central non-split extension of a non-abelian simple group S. We will call a group *almost quasisimple* if it is a central non-split extension of an almost simple group.

Example 9. One of the smallest almost quasisimple groups for which the Zassenhaus Conjecture is open is the symmetric group of degree 6. The other group of the same size for which the Zassenhaus Conjecture is also open is the Mathieu group of degree 10. In this example we will concentrate on the example of a possible involution in $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}S_6)$ which is of particular interest since its existence would also provide a counterexample to the Torsionfree Kernels Question, cf. Problem 5 in Section 7, and even more so since the involution would lie in the kernel of the the most natural representation of the group – the permutation representation on 6 points.

We provide details. Let G be the symmetric group of degree 6. Denote by $3a$ the conjugacy class of involutions in G which have no fixed points in the natural action, i.e. elements of cycle type $(2,2,2)$, by $2b$ the class of involutions of cycle type $(2,2,1,1)$ and by $2c$ the conjugacy class of involutions of cycle type $(2,1,1,1,1)$. The HeLP method is not sufficient to exclude the existence of an involution $u \in \mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ satisfying $(\varepsilon_{2a}, \varepsilon_{2b}, \varepsilon_{2c}) = (-1,1,1)$. Moreover the `GAP`-function `HeLP_MultiplicitiesOfEigenvalues` provided by the `HeLP`-package allows to construct an element of $\mathbb{Q}G$ having the partial augmentations of u. Thus to show that u does not exist in $\mathbb{Z}G$ it must be shown that the conjugacy class of this element in $\mathbb{Q}G$ has trivial intersection with the $\mathbb{Z}$-order $\mathbb{Z}G$ in $\mathbb{Q}G$. We give this element explicitly. For that let

$$\mathbb{Q}G \cong \mathbb{Q}\times\mathbb{Q}\times\mathbb{Q}^{5\times5}\times\mathbb{Q}^{5\times5}\times\mathbb{Q}^{5\times5}\times\mathbb{Q}^{5\times5}\times\mathbb{Q}^{9\times9}\times\mathbb{Q}^{9\times9}\times\mathbb{Q}^{10\times10}\times\mathbb{Q}^{10\times10}\times\mathbb{Q}^{16\times16}$$

be the Wedderburn decomposition of $\mathbb{Q}G$. Here the first factor of the form $\mathbb{Q}^{5\times5}$ is understood to correspond to the representation of G obtained by cancelling out the trivial module from the 6-dimensional natural permutation module of G. Moreover the fourth factor of the form $\mathbb{Q}^{5\times5}$ corresponds to the representation obtained from cancelling out the trivial module from the permutation module obtained by the other 6-transitive action of G (i.e. the one corresponding to the other conjugacy class of subgroups isomorphic to S_5 in G) and tensoring this module with the signum representation. Moreover the first factor of the form $\mathbb{Q}^{10\times10}$ is understood to correspond to an irreducible representation of G which has character values -2 on the class $2a$. In this understanding a representative of the conjugacy class of u in $\mathbb{Q}G$ is given by the following element:

$((1),(1),$
$\mathrm{diag}(1,1,1,1,1), \mathrm{diag}(1,\text{-}1,\text{-}1,\text{-}1,\text{-}1), \mathrm{diag}(1,\text{-}1,\text{-}1,\text{-}1,\text{-}1), \mathrm{diag}(1,1,1,1,1),$
$\mathrm{diag}(1,1,1,1,1,\text{-}1,\text{-}1,\text{-}1,\text{-}1), \mathrm{diag}(1,1,1,1,1,\text{-}1,\text{-}1,\text{-}1,\text{-}1),$
$\mathrm{diag}(1,1,1,1,1,1,\text{-}1,\text{-}1,\text{-}1,\text{-}1), \mathrm{diag}(1,1,\text{-}1,\text{-}1,\text{-}1,\text{-}1,\text{-}1,\text{-}1,\text{-}1,\text{-}1),$
$\mathrm{diag}(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,\text{-}1,\text{-}1,\text{-}1,\text{-}1,\text{-}1,\text{-}1,\text{-}1,\text{-}1)).$

Regarding (SIP-C) more can be achieved for almost quasisimple groups.

Theorem 10. *Let G be a almost quasisimple group and let S be the only non-abelian composition of factor of G. If S has smaller order than* $\mathrm{PSL}(3,3)$ *then (SIP-C) holds for G.*

To prove Theorem 10 we will use the HeLP and lattice methods. For some of the groups we study, the `GAP`-package implementing the HeLP method [6] is not strong enough computationally and we will use the following result.

Lemma 11. *Let G and H be groups such that G contains a normal p-subgroup N and $G/N \cong H$. Assume moreover that when $u \in \mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}H)$ is a torsion unit of order k then there exists an element $h \in H$ of order k such that $\varepsilon_h(u) \neq 0$. Then (SIP-C) holds for G.*

Proof. The proof follows the line of the proof of [23, Theorem]. Denote by $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}G \to \mathbb{Z}G/N \cong \mathbb{Z}H$ the linear extension of the natural homomorphism from G to G/N. We will apply the bar-convention to this ring homomorphism. So let $u \in \mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ be a torsion unit. If $\bar{u}$ has the same order as u then u has the same order as an element in G as by assumption $\bar{u}$ has the same order as an element in H. Assume on the other hand that $\bar{u}$ has strictly smaller order than the order of u. By assumption there is an element $h \in H$ such that $\varepsilon_h(\bar{u}) \neq 0$ and h has the same order as $\bar{u}$. So there is a $g \in G$ with $\bar{g} = h$ and $\varepsilon_g(u) \neq 0$. Then the p'-part of the order of g and the p'-part of the order of u coincide. But by Proposition 4 the p-parts of the order of g and the order of u also coincide and so g has the same order as u. □

Proof of Theorem 10. There are ten non-abelian simple groups of order smaller than the order of $\mathrm{PSL}(3,3)$ which give rise to 50 almost quasisimple groups. All of these groups are listed in the ATLAS and all their character and Brauer tables are available in the `GAP` character table library [12]. So in principle we can apply the HeLP package to all these groups. However it turns out that for central extension of the alternating and symmetric group of degree 7 these computations do not finish in a day, while finishing in a few minutes for all other groups. Among the groups not having A_7 as a composition factor it turns out that HeLP is sufficient to prove (SIP-C) for all groups except groups containing A_6 as a normal subgroup of index 2 and the groups $\mathrm{PSL}(2,16)$ and a group containing $\mathrm{PSL}(2,16)$ as a normal subgroup of degree 2. We handle these cases separately:

The two groups containing A_6 as a normal subgroup of index 2 for which the HeLP method is not sufficient to prove (SIP-C) are $\mathrm{PGL}(2,9)$ and the Mathieu group of degree 10. For both these groups it remains to rule out the existence of units of order 6 in their normalized unit group of the integral group ring. This has been already done in [5] using the lattice method. For the group $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2,16)$ it remains to rule out the existence of units if order 6 in $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and this has been also achieved using the lattice method in [8, Theorem C].

Next let G be a group of automorphisms of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,16)$ in which the group of inner automorphisms of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,16)$ has index 2. To prove (SIP-C) for G it remains to show that there are no torsion units of order 12 in $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$. HeLP provides us with two possibilities for the partial augmentations of a unit $u \in \mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ of order 12, both of which have the same partial augmentations on u^2. So ruling out the latter partial augmentations for units of order 6

will prove (SIP-C) for G. We will use the lattice method to do so. Denote by $2a$ the conjugacy class of involutions in G which lies in $\mathrm{PSl}(2,16)$ and by $3a$ the conjugacy class of elements of order 3 in G. The critical unit u of order 6 has partial augmentations equal to 0 on all conjugacy classes except $2a$ and $3a$ and furthermore $(\varepsilon_{2a}(u), \varepsilon_{3a}(u)) = (4, -3)$ and the only class on which the partial augmentation of u^3 does not vanish is $2a$. For an ordinary character χ of G denote by χ' it's 3-modular reduction. Denote by $\mathbf{1}$ the trivial character of G. There are irreducible complex characters χ (a constituent of the lift of the Steinberg character of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,16)$ and ψ of degree 16 and 17 respectively such that χ' is also irreducible as a 3-modular Brauer character and $\psi' = \mathbf{1}' + \chi'$. Both characters χ and ψ only take integral values. Thus by a theorem of Fong [33, Corollary 10.13] there exists a 3-adically complete discrete valuation ring R unramified over the 3-adic integers such that there are R-representations D_χ and D_ψ of G realizing χ and ψ respectively. The partial augmentations of u and its powers allow us to compute the eigenvalues of u under these representations, e.g. using the `GAP`-command `HeLP_MultiplicitiesOfEigenvalues` from the `HeLP`-package. Denote by ζ a primitive 3rd root of unity. Then

$$D_\chi(u) \sim \mathrm{diag}(1,1,\zeta,\zeta,\zeta,\zeta^2,\zeta^2,\zeta^2,-1,-1,-1,-1,-\zeta,-\zeta,-\zeta^2,-\zeta^2),$$

$$D_\psi(u) \sim \mathrm{diag}(1,1,1,1,1,\zeta,\zeta,\zeta^2,\zeta^2,-\zeta,-\zeta,-\zeta,-\zeta,-\zeta^2,-\zeta^2-,\zeta^2,-\zeta^2).$$

Denote by L_χ and L_ψ full RG-lattices corresponding to D_χ and D_ψ respectively. When an RG-lattices L is considered as an $R\langle u\rangle$-lattices it decomposes into a direct sum $L \cong L^+ \oplus L^-$ such that all direct summands of L^* as $R\langle u^3\rangle$-module are trivial while all direct summands of L^- as $R\langle u^3\rangle$-module are non-trivial by [5, Proposition 1.3]. Denote by $\bar{\cdot}$ the reduction modulo the maximal ideal of R, also with respect to modules, and let k be the field obtained by factoring out the maximal module from R. Then from the eigenvalues given above and [5, Proposition 1.4] we obtain that $\bar{L}_\chi^-$ has exactly two indecomposable direct summands of k-dimension at least 2 while $\bar{L}_\psi^-$ has four such summands. But from $\psi' = \mathbf{1}' + \chi'$ we know that $\bar{L}_\chi^-$ and $\bar{L}_\psi^-$ must be isomorphic, since if S denotes a simple kG-module corresponding to χ' then both these modules are isomorphic to S^-, i.e. the direct summand of S as $k\langle\bar{u}\rangle$-module consisting of the non-trivial direct summands of S as $k\langle\bar{u}^3\rangle$-module. This provides a final contradiction to the existence of u.

It remains to show (SIP-C) for non-split central extensions of the alternating and symmetric group of degree 7. Denote by $2a$ the conjugacy class of double transpositions in A_7 and S_7, i.e. elements of cycle type $(2,2,1,1,1)$ and by $3a$ and $3b$ the conjugacy classes of elements of order 3 where the latter is of cycle type $(3,3,1)$. Note that all these three classes are the same in A_7 and S_7. The Schur multiplier of both groups is cyclic of order 6, i.e. the maximal cyclic non-split extension is by a cyclic group of order 6. Thus by Lemma 11 it will be enough to show that when $u \in \mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}A_7)$ or $u \in \mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}S_7)$ is a unit of order k then there exists a $g \in A_7$ or $g \in S_7$ respectively such that $\varepsilon_g(u) \neq 0$ and g is of order k. Applying HeLP to A_7 and S_7 one finds that if u is a unit not satisfying this condition then u is of order 6. Moreover u satisfies

$$(\varepsilon_{2a}(u), \varepsilon_{3a}(u), \varepsilon_{3b}(u)) \in \{(-2,2,1), (-2,1,2)\},$$

the partial augmentations of u at all other elements vanish and u^3 is rationally conjugate to an element of $2a$ while u^2 is rationally conjugate to a 3-element in the conjugacy class C of G such that $\varepsilon_C(u) = 1$. In particular we obtain that showing the non-existence of such a unit in $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}S_7)$ implies the non-existence of such a unit in $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}A_7)$.

So assume that $G = S_7$ and assume that u is a unit of order 6 in $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ as described in the last paragraph. Again we will use the lattice method to show that u does not exist. The case when $\varepsilon_{3a} = 2$ will be called Case i) and the case that $\varepsilon_{3b}(u) = 2$ will be called ii). Denote by sig the character of G corresponding to the signum representation. For an ordinary characters χ denote once more by χ' the corresponding 3-Brauer character. G possesses an irreducible 3-Brauer character φ of degree 13 and two irreducible characters χ and ψ of degree 14 such that

$$\chi' = \mathbf{1}' + \varphi \quad \text{and} \quad \psi' = \mathrm{sig}' + \varphi.$$

Let again D_χ and D_ψ be R-representations of G corresponding to χ and ψ respectively where R is a 3-adically complete discrete valuation ring unramified over the 3-adic integers. From the given partial augmentations of u and its powers we can compute the eigenvalues of u under these representations. Denote by ζ a primitive 3rd root of unity.
Case i):

$$D_\chi(u) \sim \mathrm{diag}(1, 1, \zeta, \zeta, \zeta, \zeta^2, \zeta^2, \zeta^2, -1, -1, -\zeta, -\zeta, -\zeta^2, -\zeta^2),$$
$$D_\psi(u) \sim \mathrm{diag}(1, 1, \zeta, \zeta, \zeta, \zeta^2, \zeta^2, \zeta^2, -1, -1, -1, -1, -\zeta, -\zeta^2).$$

Case ii):

$$D_\chi(u) \sim \mathrm{diag}(1, 1, \zeta, \zeta, \zeta, \zeta^2, \zeta^2, \zeta^2, -1, -1, -1, -1, -\zeta, -\zeta^2),$$
$$D_\psi(u) \sim \mathrm{diag}(1, 1, \zeta, \zeta, \zeta, \zeta^2, \zeta^2, \zeta^2, -1, -1, -\zeta, -\zeta, -\zeta^2, -\zeta^2).$$

And moreover in both cases $\mathrm{sig}(u) = 1$. Let L_χ and L_ψ be full RG-lattices corresponding to χ and ψ respectively and denote by $\bar{\cdot}$ the reduction modulo the maximal ideal of R. Let k be the quotient of R by its maximal ideal and let S be a simple kG-module corresponding to φ. When viewed as $k\langle\bar{u}\rangle$-module S decomposes into a direct sum $S \cong S^+ \oplus S^-$ such that S^- contains all direct summands of S as $k\langle\bar{u}^3\rangle$-module which are not trivial. An analogues decomposition applies for $\bar{L}_\chi$ and $\bar{L}_\psi$. Then from [5, Propositions 1.3], the 3-modular decomposition behaviour and the eigenvalues of u under $\mathbf{1}$ and sig we conclude that $\bar{L}_\chi^- \cong S^- \cong \bar{L}_\psi^-$. However from [5, Proposition 1.4] we know that in Case i) $\bar{L}_\chi^-$ has exactly two indecomposable summands of degree at least 2 while $\bar{L}_\psi^-$ has only one such summand and in Case ii) $\bar{L}_\chi^-$ has exactly one indecomposable summands of degree at least 2 while $\bar{L}_\psi^-$ has two such summand. This contradicts the existence of u and finishes the proof. □

7. On big normal subgroups

The following question has not yet been systematically studied, but it appears naturally in the questions mentioned above and might be of independent interest.

Problem 5 (Torsionfree Kernel Question **(TKQ)**): Let K be a field of characteristic zero and G a finite group. Let B be a faithful block of KG and π be the projection from the units of KG onto B. Is $\operatorname{Ker}\pi \cap \mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ torsion free?

We remark that a big area in the study of units in integral group rings of finite groups is devoted to the study of "big subgroups" of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$. This involves questions on the generation of units of infinite order, free non-abelian subgroups of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$, generators of subgroups which have finite index in $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and others. For more details we refer to recent monograph on these topics [34, 35].

In this section we present a little idea how torsion units may be used to find such big normal subgroups which are torsion free and of finite index. We also make transparent how HeLP and its companions may be used to answer TKQ. Note that a related question on the existence of a torsion free complement has been studied extensively in the 80's.

Note that the hypothesis of the next lemma is valid if (ZC1) holds for $\mathbb{Z}G$.

Lemma 12. *Let G be a finite group. Suppose that elements of prime order of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ are rationally conjugate to elements of G.*

Let R be a field of characteristic zero. Suppose that G is a subgroup of $GL(n,R)$ and let $\tau : \mathbb{Q}G \longrightarrow M_n(R)$ be the ring homomorphism which is the unique extension of a given injective group homomorphism $G \longrightarrow GL(n,R)$. Let K be the kernel of the group homomorphism $\tau\,|_{\mathrm{U}(\mathbb{Q}G)}\colon \mathrm{U}(\mathbb{Q}G) \to \mathrm{GL}(n,R)$. Then $K \cap \mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is torsion free.

Proof. By assumption $M_n(R)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space. Thus τ extends uniquely. If K is not torsion free then it has an element u of prime order p. By assumption there is a unit $v \in \mathbb{Q}G$ such that $v^{-1}uv = g \in G$. But then $\tau(u) = 1 \neq \tau(g)$. □

Example 13. Let $G = \mathrm{PSL}(3,3)$. It is readily checked using the `GAP`-package `HeLP` that normalized units of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ of order a prime r are rationally conjugate to elements of G, except possibly for $r = 3$. However in this situation the command `HeLP_MultiplicitiesOfEigenvalues` can be used to see that no torsion unit of order 3 is contained in the kernel of any irreducible representation of G of degree larger than 1. Hence the previous corollary can be applied with any irreducible representation of G different from the principal one and (TKQ) has a positive answer for each block of $\mathbb{C}G$, while (ZC1) is unknown for this group.

Proposition 14. *Let G be a finite group. Let $B \cong M_n(\mathbb{Q})$ be a faithful block of the Wedderburn decomposition of $\mathbb{Q}G$ and let p be a prime. Assume that either*

i) *p is an odd prime or $p = 4$ or*
ii) *$p = 2$ and $|G|$ is odd.*

Let π be the projection of $\mathrm{U}(\mathbb{Q}G)$ onto B. Then

$$(\operatorname{Ker}\pi \cap \mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)) \cdot (1 + p\mathbb{Z}G \cap \mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G))$$

is a torsion free normal subgroup of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ of finite index.

Proof. We may choose an integral representation of G, i.e. π maps $\mathbb{Z}G$ into $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z})$. Consider the reduction $\kappa : \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$. By a classical result of Minkowski [19, Lemma 9] the map κ is injective on torsion elements if $p \neq 2$. For $p = 2$ the kernel is an elementary-abelian 2-group. Thus under the assumptions each torsion element of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ injects into the finite group $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$. □

The preceding construction may be applied especially in the situation of symmetric groups (with respect to almost each non-trivial block) because $\mathbb{Q}$ is a splitting field for S_n and only few blocks are not faithful.

Proposition 15. *Let G be a minimal simple group. Then $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ has a torsion-free normal subgroup of finite index constructed as in Proposition 14.*

Proof. In [57], Thompson proved that a minimal simple group is isomorphic to $\mathrm{PSL}(2,q)$, $\mathrm{Sz}(q)$ or $\mathrm{PSL}(3,3)$. For the two series generic character tables are known, cf. e.g. [32, XI, §5]. Let G be one of these groups and let χ be the Steinberg character. The character χ attains the same value t on all elements of order a fixed prime r. Let $x_1, ..., x_s$ be representatives of the conjugacy classes of G of elements of order r and let $u \in \mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ be a torsion unit of order r. Then

$$\chi(u) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \varepsilon_{x_j}(u)\chi(x_j) = t \neq \chi(1).$$

Hence u is not in the kernel of a representation D affording χ. D can be realized over the rationals, hence by Proposition 14 we obtain a torsion-free normal subgroup of $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ of finite index.

In case $G = \mathrm{PSL}(3,3)$ Example 13 can be used to find a suitable block. □

References

[1] 4ti2 team. 4ti2—A software package for algebraic, geometric and combinatorial problems on linear spaces. Available at `www.4ti2.de`.

[2] S. A. Amitsur. Finite subgroups of division rings. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 80:361–386, 1955.

[3] A. Bächle, A. Herman, A. Konovalov, L. Margolis, and G. Singh. The status of the Zassenhaus conjecture for small groups. *submitted, arXiv: 1609. 00042[math. RA]*, page 10 pages, 2016.

[4] A. Bächle and W. Kimmerle. On torsion subgroups in integral group rings of finite groups. *J. Algebra*, 326:34–46, 2011.

[5] A. Bächle and L. Margolis. Rational conjugacy of torsion units in integral group rings of non-solvable groups. *accepted in Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society, arXiv: 1305. 7419[math. RT]*, page 22 pages, 2014.

[6] A. Bächle and L. Margolis. HeLP – A GAP-package for torsion units in integral group rings. *submitted, arXiv: 1507. 08174[math. RT]*, page 6 pages, 2015.

[7] A. Bächle and L. Margolis. On the Prime Graph Question for Integral Group Rings of 4-primary groups I. *submitted, arXiv: 1601. 05689[math. RT]*, page 33 pages, 2016.

[8] A. Bächle and L. Margolis. On the Prime Graph Question for Integral Group Rings of 4-primary groups II. *submitted, arXiv: 1606. 01506[math. RT]*, page 17 pages, 2016.

[9] V. Bovdi, C. Höfert, and W. Kimmerle. On the first Zassenhaus conjecture for integral group rings. *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 65(3-4):291–303, 2004.

[10] V.A. Bovdi and M. Hertweck. Zassenhaus conjecture for central extensions of S_5. *J. Group Theory*, 11(1):63–74, 2008.

[11] V.A. Bovdi, A.B. Konovalov, and S. Linton. Torsion units in integral group ring of the Mathieu simple group M_{22}. *LMS J. Comput. Math.*, 11:28–39, 2008.
[12] T. Breuer. The GAP Character Table Library, Version 1.2.1. `http://www.math.rwth-aachen.de/~Thomas.Breuer/ctbllib`, May 2012. GAP package.
[13] W. Bruns, B. Ichim, and C. Söger. The power of pyramid decomposition in Normaliz. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 74:513–536, 2016.
[14] J. A. Cohn and D. Livingstone. On the structure of group algebras. I. *Canad. J. Math.*, 17:583–593, 1965.
[15] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner. *Methods of representation theory. Vol. I.* Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1990. With applications to finite groups and orders, Reprint of the 1981 original, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
[16] M.A. Dokuchaev and S.O. Juriaans. Finite subgroups in integral group rings. *Canad. J. Math.*, 48(6):1170–1179, 1996.
[17] M.A. Dokuchaev, S.O. Juriaans, and C. Polcino Milies. Integral group rings of Frobenius groups and the conjectures of H. J. Zassenhaus. *Comm. Algebra*, 25(7):2311–2325, 1997.
[18] The GAP Group. *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.8.5*, 2016.
[19] R.M. Guralnick and M. Lorenz. Orders of finite groups of matrices. In *Groups, rings and algebras*, volume 420 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 141–161. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
[20] A. Herman and G. Singh. Revisiting the Zassenhaus conjecture on torsion units for the integral group rings of small groups. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.*, 125(2):167–172, 2015.
[21] M. Hertweck. A counterexample to the isomorphism problem for integral group rings. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 154(1):115–138, 2001.
[22] M. Hertweck. Partial Augmentations and Brauer character values of torsion units in group rings. *arXiv: math. RA/ 0612429v2[math. RA]* , 2007.
[23] M. Hertweck. The orders of torsion units in integral group rings of finite solvable groups. *Comm. Algebra*, 36(10):3585–3588, 2008.
[24] M. Hertweck. Torsion units in integral group rings of certain metabelian groups. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)*, 51(2):363–385, 2008.
[25] M. Hertweck. Unit groups of integral finite group rings with no noncyclic abelian finite p-subgroups. *Comm. Algebra*, 36(9):3224–3229, 2008.
[26] M. Hertweck. Units of p-power order in principal p-blocks of p-constrained groups. *J. Algebra*, 464:348–356, 2016.
[27] M. Hertweck, C.R. Höfert, and W. Kimmerle. Finite groups of units and their composition factors in the integral group rings of the group $\mathrm{PSL}(2, q)$. *J. Group Theory*, 12(6):873–882, 2009.
[28] M. Hertweck and W. Kimmerle. On principal blocks of p-constrained groups. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 84(1):179–193, 2002.
[29] G. Higman. *Units in group rings*. D. phil. thesis, Oxford Univ., 1940.
[30] C. Höfert. Die erste Vermutung von Zassenhaus für Gruppen kleiner Ordnung. Diplomarbeit, Universität Stuttgart, July 2004.
[31] C. Höfert and W. Kimmerle. On torsion units of integral group rings of groups of small order. In *Groups, rings and group rings*, volume 248 of *Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math.*, pages 243–252. Chapmann & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006.
[32] B. Huppert and N. Blackburn. *Finite groups. III*, volume 243 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1982.
[33] I.M. Isaacs. *Character theory of finite groups*. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1976. Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 69.
[34] E. Jespers and Á. del Río. *Group ring groups. Volume 1: Orders and generic constructions of units*. Berlin: De Gruyter, 2016.
[35] E. Jespers and Á. del Río. *Group ring groups. Volume 2: Structure theorems of unit groups*. Berlin: De Gruyter, 2016.

[36] S.O. Juriaans and C. Polcino Milies. Units of integral group rings of Frobenius groups. *J. Group Theory*, 3(3):277–284, 2000.
[37] W. Kimmerle. Beiträge zur ganzzahligen Darstellungstheorie endlicher Gruppen. *Bayreuth. Math. Schr.*, 36:139, 1991.
[38] W. Kimmerle. On the prime graph of the unit group of integral group rings of finite groups. In *Groups, rings and algebras*, volume 420 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 215–228. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
[39] W. Kimmerle. Torsion units in integral group rings of finite insoluble groups. *Oberwolfach Reports*, 4(4):3229–3230, 2007. Abstracts from the mini-workshop held November 25–December 1, 2007, organized by Eric Jespers, Zbigniew Marciniak, Gabriele Nebe, and Wolfgang Kimmerle.
[40] W. Kimmerle. Sylow like theorems for $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$. *Int. J. Group Theory*, 4(4):49–59, 2015.
[41] W. Kimmerle and A.B. Konovalov. Recent advances on torsion subgroups of integral group. In *Groups St. Andrews 2013*, volume 422 of *London Math Soc Lecture Note Series*, pages 331 – 347. Cambridge University Press, 2015.
[42] W. Kimmerle and A.B. Konovalov. On the Gruenberg - Kegel graph of integral group rings of finite groups. arXiv:1612.05025, 2016.
[43] W. Kimmerle and L. Margolis. p-subgroups of units in $\mathbb{Z}G$. *arXiv:1605.09599*, 2016.
[44] I.S. Luthar and I.B.S. Passi. Zassenhaus conjecture for A_5. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.*, 99(1):1–5, 1989.
[45] Z. Marciniak, J. Ritter, S. K. Sehgal, and A. Weiss. Torsion units in integral group rings of some metabelian groups. II. *J. Number Theory*, 25(3):340–352, 1987.
[46] Z. Marciniak and S. K. Sehgal. The unit group of $1 + \Delta(G)\Delta(A)$ is torsion free. *J. Group Theory*, 6(2):223–228, 1987.
[47] L. Margolis. Subgroup isomorphism problem for units of integral group rings. *accepted in Journal of Group Theory,* `arXiv: 1511. 04550v2[ math. RA]` , page 13 pages, 2016.
[48] L. Margolis. A Sylow theorem for the integral group ring of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, q)$. *J. Algebra*, 445:295–306, 2016.
[49] L. Margolis, M. Serrano, and Á. del Río. Zassenhaus conjecture on torsion units holds for $\mathrm{PSL}(2, p)$ with p a Fermat or Mersenne prime. *preprint,* `arXiv: 1608. 05797[ math. RA]` , page 32 pages, 2016.
[50] D.S. Passman. *Permutation groups*. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1968.
[51] K. W. Roggenkamp and L. L. Scott. Isomorphisms of p-adic group rings. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 126(3):593–647, 1987.
[52] K.W. Roggenkamp. The isomorphism problem for integral group rings of finite groups. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I, II (Kyoto, 1990)*, pages 369–380. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 1991.
[53] L.L. Scott. Recent progress on the isomorphism problem. In *The Arcata Conference on Representations of Finite Groups (Arcata, Calif., 1986)*, volume 47 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 259–273. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.
[54] S.K. Sehgal. *Units in integral group rings*, volume 69 of *Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1993.
[55] M. Shirvani and B. A. F. Wehrfritz. *Skew linear groups*, volume 118 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
[56] G. Thompson. Subgroup rigidity in finite - dimensional group algebras over p - groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 341:423–447, 1994.
[57] J.G. Thompson. Nonsolvable finite groups all of whose local subgroups are solvable. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 74:383–437, 1968.
[58] A. Valenti. Torsion units in integral group rings. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 120(1):1–4, 1994.
[59] A. Weiss. Rigidity of p-adic p-torsion. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 127(2):317–332, 1988.
[60] È. M. Žmud and G. Č. Kurennoĭ. The finite groups of units of an integral group ring. *Vestnik Har'kov. Gos. Univ.*, 1967(26):20–26, 1967.

Vakgroep Wiskunde, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Brussels, Belgium
E-mail address: abachle@vub.ac.be

Fachbereich Mathematik, IGT, Universität Stuttgart, Pfaffenwaldring 57, 70550 Stuttgart, Germany
E-mail address: kimmerle@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de

Departamento de matemáticas, Facultad de matemáticas, Universidad de Murcia, 30100 Murcia, Spain
E-mail address: leo.margolis@um.es